FORM A



TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL PRACTICE RUBRIC PROVIDERS TECHNICAL PROPOSAL - APPLICATION

Please check the most appropriate category:

| Teacher and/or Principal Practice Rubric | Required Submission |
|--|---|
| This is an application for providingeacher Practice Ru- bric services Pleasecheck the most appropriate catego below: | A full application with all required materials (including this cov q 42s cl |
| This rubric is for classroom observatiom,ly. | |
| This rubric isfor all applicable teacher evalution criteria, including classroom observatio | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |



TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL PRACTICE RUBRIC PROVIDERS TECHNICAL PROPOSAL #RUBRIC DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

Rubric Design and Implementation (nformational-Onl):

In this section, the applicant should present evidence that their submitted practice rubric has a demonstrated record of effectiveness in contributing to teacher and/or principal achievement.

| Describe and detailiny empirical or statistical evidence of demonstrat professional achievement for tead ers and/or principals over time as result of provider services. | |
|--|--|
| | |

| The success of performancebased teacher evaluation system is highly dependent orthe provision of high quality training for stakeholders around the standards and rubrics as well as the goals fothe evaluation system (Mather, Olivia, & Laine, 2008). Systematically training classroom observers and evaluators (e.g. intra and inter-rater reliability) helps ensure their ability to accurately assess teacher performance (Little, Goe Bell, 2009) The evaluation protocols and processe associated with the NYSUT Teacher Practice Rubric clareot (Clareot) (Social Bell, 2009) The evaluators and administrators. Anecdotal evidence from districts using the NYSUT Teacher Practice Rubric is consistent with findings in similar performance systems as documented i CPSTES (Kane, 2011), connecting specific teaching practices with student achievement outcomes |
|--|
| |
| |

| | Standard. The evaluator uses a -4 scale with 4= highly effective, 3= effective, 2= developing and 1= ineffective. A total per standard scoreis produced by adding individual indicator scores divided by the number of performance indicators assessedAll standard scores areadded to produce a total score and divided by the number of standards The total score is then applied to a locally negotiated conversion chart to determine the subcomponent scoreff a teacher's total subcomponent scores 2.62, according to the sample conversion table, the teacher's rating would be " effective." |
|---|---|
| | Sample Conversion Table |
| | Highly Effective 3.5 ± 4.0 Effective 2.5 ± 3.49 Developing 1.5 ± 2.49 Ineffective 1.0 ± 1.49 |
| | Option Two: A point value which is locally negotiated is assigned to each of the seven teaching standards addin up to 60 points. A local determination is made regarding point allocation for elements/performance indicators to total the point value per standard. Evidence is collected and scored on a @ int basis; the final score will fall into locally negotiated scoring bands indicating highly effective; effective; developing; ineffective. |
| 5. Describe and detail your organiz tion \$ demonstrated ability to ada and sustain the submitted rub to align with the requested needs participating LEAs. | The NYSUT TeacherPractice Rubric has been used with a variety of school districts throughout New York State; Albany (large urban), North Syracuse (large suburban), Plattsburgh (small city), Marlboro (small suburban), and Hempstead (large urban). Each district required different strategiesto adapt and sustain the Rubric. To meet local needstailored technical assistance was offered to each district with regard for the following conditions: the district's current evaluation systen; adjustment to current observation practices; training for administrators and peer evaluators on observation protocols; training for teachers on NYS Teaching Standards and observation protocols; examination of current data; and systems and processes for teacher evaluation and professional development practices and district PDP As the work continues, and more districts adopt the Rubric, NYSUT's Education and Learning Trust will provide each schoodistrict with appropriate technical |

| Z Describe and datail the project | |
|---|--|
| 7. Describe and detail the project costs associated with the adopti of your teacher or principal rubri evaluation tool, which wouldni- clude the projected cost(s) for t adoption of the practice rubr and any supplemental costs- volved (i.e. training/ instruction, implementation costs, material etc.). | |

| using NYSUT's Teacher Practice Rubric. The estimatedcost of the fifteenhour program is approximately \$110 per person. |
|--|
| Evaluator Training: While not a service that will be available by the Education and Learning Trust until 2012, evaluator training is a major component of 1 < 6 8 7 @d/mprehensive Teacher Evaluation and Development System@TEDS). A well-trained evaluator is a critical element of a successful teacher evaluation system. As described above in E2(6), the training provides an overview of the evaluation system and the process used for formal observations including, but not limited to, analysis of teaching artifacts during the pre-conference, evidence collection, observation, examination of student work, and goal setting and developingearning plans. |
| The training includes 30 hours of intensive training followed by 15 hours of indistrict support with paired coaching to develop evidence collection and interater reliability skills. The estimated cost of the 45 hour program is \$650 per person, including the paired observations. |



TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL PRACTICE RUBRIC PROVIDERS TECHNICAL PROPOSAL ±ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY

Organizational Capacity (Informational-Only):

In this section, the applicant should demonstrate that it has adequate human, organizational, and technical resources to provide the proposed teacher and/or principal practice rubric services.

| A description of the organization including information such a length of time in operation, mu- ber of existing locations, numbe of staff, an organization chart, etc A description of the organization | Since 1979NYSUTs Education and Learning Trust (ELT) has been providing professional development for approximately 10,000 membes annually. ELT maintains a staff of 15 professionals including director, coordinators and administrative assistants. Five regional offices oversee a faculty of 120 teacher practitioner s. ELT has worked with over 700 school district s in the past 30 years, providing customized support and technical assistance in reform initiatives for rural, suburban and urban districts. (See Appendices 9and 10) The Education & Learning Trust (ELT) is the profes- |
|---|--|
| history of providing similar teale er and/or principal evaluation rse vices, including the outcome achieved, number of previous reo tracts, the divesity of clients, the number of students served, etc. | sional development division of the New York State United Teachers organization. ELT provides compre hensive professional developmenthrough programs designed for experienced teachers as well as new pra titioners. Each year thousands of educators and stu |
| | |



TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL PRACTICE RUBRIC PROVIDERS TECHNICAL PROPOSAL - SERVICE SUMMARY (INFORMATIONALONLY)

1. Name of organization:

Primary location: